INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See	Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Bemard E Souw, Patent Examiner. (3) Mr. Nicholas Whitelaw, Attorney for Applicant. (2) John R. Lee, Supervisory Patent Examiner. (4)		09/823,992	GREEN ÉT AL.		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Bernard E Souw, Patent Examiner. (2) John R. Lee, Supervisory Patent Examiner. (4)		Examin r	Art Unit		
(1) Bernard E Souw, Patent Examiner. (2) John R. Lee, Supervisory Patent Examiner. (4) Date of Interview: 25 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 35,54,55 and 77. Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5.747,800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word predetermined is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation." Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT is GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE., OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		Bernard E Souw	2881		
Date of Interview: 25 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ Applicant 2) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1] ☐ No. If Yes, brief description of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5.747.800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Claims 33 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation." Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. **SUPPLICATION PATENT EXAMINER**	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
Date of Interview: 25 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 35.54.55 and 77. Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5.747.800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the world 'predetermined'' is added to the wording 'particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation''. Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) Bernard E Souw, Patent Examiner.	(3) <u>Mr. Nicholas I</u>	Nhitelaw, Attorney for Applican	<u>nt</u> .	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 35.54.55 and 77. Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5.747.800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(2) John R. Lee, Supervisory Patent Examiner.	(4)			
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 25 March 2004.				
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 35.54.55 and 77. Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5,747.800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the clai allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's repr	esentative]	,	
Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5,747,800) and Gregory (WO 98/50941). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the clai allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SUPPLIESORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) discussed: <u>35,54,55 and 77</u> .				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the clai allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Yano et al. (USPAT 5	5,747,800) and Gregor	<u>y (WO 98/50941)</u> .		
reached, or any other comments: Claims 35 and 55 would no longer be obvious over the prior arts of record if the word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular mass range are saturating or approaching saturation". Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Gregory. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the clair allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SUPPLIED THE ADMINER SUPPLIED THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not reached	l. h)∏ N/A.		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	word "predetermined" is added to the wording "particular in Claims 55 and 77 are not obvious over Yano in view of Grand Grand (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	d no longer be obvious mass range are sat regory. Indiments which the exactory of the amendments.	s over the prior arts of record if furating or approaching saturation with a sure of the same agreed would render the	the ion".	
	THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		•			
			///Ca		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required	Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examin	er's signature, if required		